Francis O. Scarpulla (41059)
Craig C. Corbitt (83251)
Judith A. Zahid (215418)
Patrick B. Clayton (240191)
Qianwei Fu (242669)
Heather T. Rankie (268002)
ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 693-0700
Facsimile: (415) 693-0770
fscarpulla@zelle.com

Joseph M. Alioto (42980)
Theresa D. Moore (99978)
ALIOTO LAW FIRM
225 Bush Street, 16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 434-8900
Facsimile: (415) 434-9200
jmalioto@aliotolaw.com

Co-Lead Class Counsel for Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:07-MD-1827 SI MDL No. 1827
This Document Relates to: All Indirect-Purchaser Actions; *State of Missouri, et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 10-cv-3619; *State of Florida v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 10-cv-3517; and *State of New York v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 11-cv-0711.	**DECLARATION OF PATRICK B. CLAYTON IN SUPPORT OF INDIRECT-PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' AND SETTLING STATES' JOINT RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO COMBINED CLASS, *PARENS PATRIAE*, AND GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY SETTLEMENTS** Hearing Date: May 18, 2012 Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 10, 19th Floor The Honorable Susan Illston

I, Patrick B. Clayton, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before the courts of the State of California, and an associate at the law firm Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP, Co-Lead Class Counsel for the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs ("IPPs"). I make this Declaration in Support of Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs' and Settling States' Joint Response to Objections to Combined Class, *Parens Patriae*, and Governmental Entity Settlements. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to them.

2. Attached hereto as Exhibits 1–5 are true and correct copies of the objections of Roger Bartnick, Russell Cobb, Julius N. Dunmore, Jr., Derrick Harris, and Billy B. Wood, and envelopes containing those objections, respectively, which were forwarded to IPPs' counsel by notice administrator Rust Consulting, Inc.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of the objection of Chase Thompson, and the envelope containing that objection, which were forwarded to IPPs' counsel by notice administrator Rust Consulting, Inc.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 4th day of May, 2012, in San Francisco, California.

By: */s/ Patrick B. Clayton*
Patrick B. Clayton

3233176v1

EXHIBIT 1

Roger Bartnick
5095 N.W. 22st Loop
Ocala, Florida 32608

April 13, 2012

Clerk's Office
U.S. District Court for the
Northern District of California
450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
and
LCD Indirect Objections
P.O. Box 8025
Faribault, MN 55021-9425

In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation MDL No. 1827

I bought a Samsung 42inch TV at Sears in 2004. I read the paper and it said that the attorneys would be asking for 1/3rd of the more than $500 million dollar settlement. That is more than $150 million dollars. That doesn't make any sense to me and I don't want the attorneys to get so much money. That is crazy. I don't consent to the attorneys getting what they say that they are going to ask for.

Truly yours, Roger T. Bartnick

Roger Bartnick
5095 NW 21st Loop
Ocala, FL 34482



REC'D APR 16 2012

LCD Indirect Objections
P.O. Box 8025
Faribault, MD 55021-9425

55021+9425

EXHIBIT 2

Russell Cobb
2406 NE 69th Terrace
Gainesville, Florida 32609

April 13, 2012

Inre: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation MDL No:1827

Clerk's Office
U.S. District Court for the
Northern District of
California
450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th
Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

I am writing to express my concerns about the TFT-LCD settlement. What is the minimum payment that will be made to class members, and why is that not in the notice? I bought a TV built by Hitachi in 2002. I bought it at Wal-Mart. I do not have the receipt. I am very pleased that the defendants are paying back over one half of one billion dollars. However I am concerned about the huge fee the attorneys are asking for. Almost two hundred million dollars is just silly. It's a lawsuit not a lottery. How much per hour is that? I don't know because the notice doesn't say. How many dollars an hour do you have to work for to earn such a big fee. This fee is ridiculous and I don't agree.

Sincerely yours,

Russell Lee Cobb

P.S. Also sent to P.O. Box 8025,Faribault,MN 55021

Russell Cobb
2406 NE 69th Terrace
Gainesville, fL 32609

GAINESVILLE FL 326

13 APR 2012 PM 1 T

REC'D APR 16 2012

LCD Indirect Objections
P.O. Box 8025
Faribault, MD 55021-9425

55021+9425

EXHIBIT 3

Julius N. Dunmore, Jr.
3903 NE 159th Place
Gainesville, FL 32609

April 13, 2012

Clerk's Office
U.S. District Court for the
Northern District of California
450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

LCD Indirect Objections
P.O. Box 8025
Faribault, MN 55021-9425

In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation MDL No. 1827

I am writing I bought a both a Samsung TV and a Samsung computer monitor from a store in Florida during the time period 1999 to 2006. I am really unhappy about the maximum attorney fees that may be requested. The attorneys receiving 33/3 of the settlement money is ridiculous and I don't agree to it. That's about $180 million dollars for their work. They should get paid but it should be something reasonable. I work 2 jobs and the amount that they are asking for is not reasonable.

Sincerely,

Julius N. Dunmore, Jr.
3903 NE 159th Place
Gainesville, FL 32609

GAINESVILLE FL 326

13 APR 2012 PM 1 T

REC'D APR 17 2012

LCD Indirect Objections
P.O. Box 8025
Faribault, MD 55021-9425

55021+9425

EXHIBIT 4

Clerk's Office
U.S. District Court for the
Northern District of California
450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

Copied to:
P.O. Box 8025
Faribault, MN 55021-9425

TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation MDL No:1827

Dear Sir,

My name is Derrick Harris. I live at 6824 Suwannee Plaza Lane, PMB: 114 in Live Oak, FL 32060. I rented a Samsung flat screen TV in 2003 - 2004 from Aaron's Rent to Own. Do I get any money from this settlement? I can't tell whether I get any money. I rented to own from Aaron's.

The attorneys are asking for 1/3 of all the money. That is way too much. All the money is hundreds of millions of dollars. You should not let the attorneys walk away with 1/3 of hundreds of millions of dollars.

Thank you,

Derrick Harris

Derrick Harris
6824 Suwannee Plaza Lane, PMB: 114
Live Oak, FL 32060

REC'D APR 16 2012

LCD Indirect Objections
P.O. Box 8025
Faribault, MD 55021-9425

EXHIBIT 5

Billy B. Wood
150 Turkey Creek
Alachua, FL 32615

April 13, 2012

In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation MDL No:1827

Clerk' s Office
U.S. District Court for the
Northern District of California
450 Golden Gate Avenue
16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

I am writing to express my concerns about the TFT-LCD settlement. If there is a minimum payment that will be made to class members, why is that not in the notice? I purchased a Sharp flat screen television in 2006 at Rex' s. I am very pleased that the defendants are paying back over one half of one billion dollars. However, I am concerned about the huge fee the attorneys are asking for. Almost two hundred million dollars is just ridiculous. It was a lawsuit not a lottery.

Sincerely yours,

Billy B. Wood

cc Sent to P.O. Box 8025,Faribault,MN 55021

Billy B. Wood
150 Turkey Creek
Alachua, FL 32615

REC'D APR 16 2012

LCD Indirect Objections
P.O. Box 8025
Faribault, MD 55021-9425

EXHIBIT 6

Chase Thompson
6885 Highway 79 North
Pinson, AL 35126
205-568-6836

April 14, 2012

Clerk's Office
United States District Court
For the District of Northern California
16th Floor
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

LCD Indirect Objection
P.O. Box 8025
Faribault, MN 55021-9425

Reference: (TFT-LCD Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation
Num. 3:07-MD-1827 SI
MDL No. 1827

Dear Sir or Madam:
Please consider this an objection to the subject settlement. I have bought at least one of the class action settlement merchandise. I have studied through the internet site on this settlement and the notice is incredibly oblique as to whether a person is a class member or not. I would imagine you are going to have very few class members object to this settlement or even pursue a claim because it is so difficult to ascertain whether one is class member or not. Therefore, I object to the lousy notice that was given to potential class members, also, I cannot believe the extraordinary large fee that the attorneys are asking for. This is especially troubling since attorney generals for a number of states assisted in pursuing this claim. Therefore, I definitely am objecting to the extraordinary high attorney's fees in this case. I have tried to find an attorney to represent me in this case and have been unable to do so at this time. I intend to continue trying to get an attorney to represent me, even if I have to get someone in San Francisco were this case is set because this is such an unfair outcome. Therefore, I want to serve notice that, presuming I get an attorney, that this objection will be argued before the court and 15 minutes should be plenty of time for that.

Sincerely,

Chase Thompson

Chase Thompson
6885 Highway 79 North
Pinson, AL 35126



REC'D APR 16 2012

LCD Indirect Objection
P.O. Box 8025
Faribault, MN 55021-9425

55021942525