

Exhibit 1-A
Mediation Meetings and Telephone Conferences
in which Counsel for the States Participated

January 13, 2011	States/IPP in-person mediation with Chi Mei, Hannstarr, Hitachi, LG, Sharp and Toshiba before Professor Eric Green in San Francisco, CA
February 15-16, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with Chi Mei, HannStar, Hitachi, LG, Samsung, Sharp and Toshiba before Prof. Eric Green in San Francisco, CA
March 3, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with Samsung before Prof. Eric Green in San Francisco, CA
March 7, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with Toshiba before Prof. Eric Green in San Francisco, CA
May 11, 2011	States Telephone Call with Prof. Eric Green
June 10, 2011	States/IPPs reach settlement in principle with Chi Mei before Prof. Eric Green through series of telephone conferences between May 23rd and June 10th
June 8, 2011	States/IPPs reach settlement in principle with Hitachi before Prof. Eric Green through series of telephone conferences between May 23rd and June 8th
June 13, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with Toshiba before Prof. Eric Green in San Francisco, CA
June 14, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with Chi Mei on injunctive and other settlement terms in San Francisco, CA
June 15, 2011	States' Mediation Team, accompanied by representative of IPPs, meet with AUO counsel to discuss conditions under which States might productively pursue settlement discussion in San Francisco, CA
July 11, 2011	States/IPPs in-person and telephonic mediation with Sharp before Prof. Eric Green in Boston, MA, (Counsel for the States of California, Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)
July 27, 2011	States/IPPs telephonic and in-person mediation with Samsung before Prof. Eric Green. (Counsel for California appeared in person; Counsel for the States of Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)

July 27, 2011	States/IPPs telephonic and in-person mediation with AUO before Prof. Eric Green. (Counsel for California appeared in person; Counsel for the States of Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)
August 17, 2011	States/IPPs telephonic and in-person settlement discussions at Zelle Hoffman with CMO in San Francisco, CA (Counsel for California appeared in person; Counsel for the States of Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)
August 18, 2011	States/IPPs in-person settlement discussions at Morgan Lewis with Hitachi in San Francisco, CA (Counsel for California appeared in person; Counsel for the States of Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)
August 18, 2011	States/IPPS telephonic and in-person settlement discussions at Pillsbury with Sharp in San Francisco, CA (Counsel for California appeared in person; Counsel for the States of Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)
August 23, 2011	States/IPPs telephonic and in-person settlement discussions at Pillsbury with Sharp (Counsel for the States of Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)
August 29, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with Samsung before Hon. Daniel Weinstein in San Francisco, CA
August 30, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with Sharp in San Francisco, CA
September 7, 2011	States/IPPs reach settlement in principle with Sharp through series of telephone conferences between September 4th - 7th.
October 3, 2011	States/IPPs in-person and telephonic mediation with AUO before Hon. Daniel Weinstein, in San Francisco, CA
October 5, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with Chi Mei on injunctive and other settlement terms in San Francisco, CA
October 5, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with Hitachi on injunctive and other settlement terms in San Francisco, CA
October 5, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with Samsung before Hon. Daniel Weinstein; settlement in principle reached in San Francisco, CA
October 6, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with AUO before Hon. Daniel Weinstein in San Francisco, CA

October 6, 2011	States/IPPs in-person mediation with LG before Hon. Daniel Weinstein in San Francisco, CA
October 7, 2011	States/IPPS in-person mediation with HannStar before Hon. Daniel Weinstein; settlement in principle reached in San Francisco, CA
January 6, 2012	States/IPPs in-person mediations with AUO, LG, and Toshiba before Hon. Daniel Weinstein and Prof. Eric Green in San Francisco, CA
April 23, 2012	States/IPPs in-person and telephonic mediation with AUO before Hon. Vaughn Walker; settlement in principle reached. Mediation took place in San Francisco, CA. (Counsel for the State of California appeared in person; Counsel for the States of Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)
April 24, 2012	States/IPPs reach settlement in principle with AUO through series of communications Mediation took place in San Francisco, CA. (Counsel for the State of California appeared in person; Counsel for the States of Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)
April 24, 2011	States/IPPs in-person telephonic mediation with Toshiba counsel before Hon. Vaughn Walker; through series of subsequent communications, settlement in principle reached. Mediation took place in San Francisco, CA. (Counsel for the State of California appeared in person; Counsel for the States of Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)
April 26, 2012	States/IPPs in person and telephonic mediation with LG before Hon. Vaughn Walker; settlement in principle reached. Mediation took place in San Francisco, CA. (Counsel for the State of California appeared in person; Counsel for the States of Florida and Missouri appeared telephonically.)

Exhibit 1-B

**In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)**

Individual States' Fee Schedules Adjusted 9% for N.D. California

STATE	ATTORNEY	ATTORNEYS' FEES	OTHERS	OTHERS' FEES
Arkansas	David Curran	\$365.15		
	Kevin Wells	\$250.70		
California	Adam Miller	\$457.80	Joan L. Huber, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Cheryl L. Johnson	\$517.75	Jonathan Mann, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Emilio Varanini	\$457.80	Laura C. Namba, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Esther H. La	\$457.80	Samuel Johnson III, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Jonathan M. Eisenberg	\$457.80		
	Kathleen E. Foote	\$517.75		
	Nicole S. Gordon	\$299.75		
	Paul A. Moore III	\$457.80		
	Quyên D. Toland	\$457.80		
	Sangeetha M. Raghunathan	\$299.75		
Florida	Ashley Davis	\$250.70	Alex Kaye, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Christopher Hunt	\$517.75	Andrew Jones, Economist	\$163.50
	Eli Friedman	\$365.15	Asia Williams, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Greg Slemp	\$457.80	Carmen Oquendo, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Liz Brady	\$517.75	Carol Bryant, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Nicholas Weilhammer	\$365.15	Emily Petry, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Russell Kent	\$457.80	Jennifer Morgan- Byrd, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Satu Herrera	\$250.70	Jordana Gordon, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Scott Palmer	\$517.75	Karen Marsh, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Timothy Fraser	\$299.75	Kelly La Mendola, Paralegal	\$147.15

	Trish Conners	\$517.75	Laura Daugherty, Economist	\$218.00
			Lisa Polombo, Paralegal	\$147.15
			Marcia Johnson, Paralegal	\$147.15
Michigan	Elizabeth Lippitt	\$250.70	IT Staff	\$147.15
	Jason Evans	\$299.75		
Missouri	Anne Schneider	\$517.75	Marsha Presley, Paralegal	\$147.15
	Andrew Hartnett	\$250.70	Ammon Simon, Intern	\$0
	Brian Bear	\$250.70	Jacob Westen, Intern	\$0
	Brianna Lennon	\$250.70	Robin Caruthers, Intern	\$0
	Rob Almony	\$250.70		
New York	Amy McFarlane	\$299.75		
	John Ioannou	\$457.80		
	Richard Schwartz	\$517.75		
	Robert Hubbard	\$517.75		
	Matthew Perez	\$250.70		
	Karla Sanchez	\$457.80		
	Geralyn Trujillo	\$457.80		
	Scott Hemphill	\$250.70		
Wisconsin	Gwendolyn Cooley	\$299.75	Connie Eberhardt, Paralegal	\$147.15
			Joan Felton, Paralegal	\$147.15
West Virginia	Douglas Davis	\$517.75		

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary of Total Fees and Hours

<u>Date</u>	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
October 2007	\$ 24,263.40	53	\$ 3,531.60	24	\$ 27,795.00	77
November 2007	\$ 4,578.00	10	\$ 1,177.20	8	\$ 5,755.20	18
December 2007	\$ 11,445.00	25	\$ -	0	\$ 11,445.00	25
January 2008	\$ 4,578.00	10	\$ -	0	\$ 4,578.00	10
February 2008	\$ 3,662.40	8	\$ -	0	\$ 3,662.40	8
March 2008	\$ 1,831.20	4	\$ -	0	\$ 1,831.20	4
April 2008	\$ 3,662.40	8	\$ -	0	\$ 3,662.40	8
May 2008	\$ 457.80	1	\$ -	0	\$ 457.80	1
June 2008	\$ 457.80	1	\$ -	0	\$ 457.80	1
July 2008	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
August 2008	\$ 4,806.90	10.5	\$ 7,026.41	47.75	\$ 11,833.31	58.25
September 2008	\$ 3,662.40	8	\$ 662.18	4.5	\$ 4,324.58	12.5
October 2008	\$ 2,289.00	5	\$ 515.03	3.5	\$ 2,804.03	8.5
November 2008	\$ 13,072.37	32.5	\$ 8,914.57	56.2	\$ 21,986.94	88.7
December 2008	\$ 43,826.18	99.85	\$ 20,644.60	140.1	\$ 64,470.78	239.95
January 2009	\$ 50,086.60	110.15	\$ 21,997.57	147.95	\$ 72,084.16	258.1
February 2009	\$ 88,433.89	197.2	\$ 29,907.70	199.25	\$ 118,341.59	396.45
March 2009	\$ 78,243.47	179.7	\$ 27,001.49	182.1	\$ 105,244.96	361.8
April 2009	\$ 91,073.32	203.7	\$ 25,074.36	170.4	\$ 116,147.68	374.1
May 2009	\$ 116,791.33	290.3	\$ 38,339.94	260.55	\$ 155,131.27	550.85
June 2009	\$ 187,956.89	493.35	\$ 64,296.38	434.2	\$ 252,253.26	927.55
July 2009	\$ 139,417.01	385.9	\$ 61,101.59	410.9	\$ 200,518.59	796.8
August 2009	\$ 77,778.59	199.5	\$ 46,119.54	312.6	\$ 123,898.12	512.1
September 2009	\$ 59,189.73	148.8	\$ 56,991.20	387.3	\$ 116,180.93	536.1
October 2009	\$ 48,222.15	130.55	\$ 51,808.52	351.55	\$ 100,030.67	482.1
November 2009	\$ 44,591.36	116.85	\$ 44,330.58	298.95	\$ 88,921.94	415.8
December 2009	\$ 67,760.95	167.9	\$ 44,357.01	299.9	\$ 112,117.95	467.8
January 2010	\$ 117,418.62	309.6	\$ 38,143.46	254.4	\$ 155,562.08	564
February 2010	\$ 177,602.98	461.8	\$ 64,912.50	434.15	\$ 242,515.48	895.95
March 2010	\$ 128,331.70	288.55	\$ 59,080.46	400.15	\$ 187,412.16	688.7
April 2010	\$ 102,802.27	231.1	\$ 28,741.67	193.3	\$ 131,543.94	424.4
May 2010	\$ 144,018.98	338.25	\$ 31,585.75	231.65	\$ 175,604.73	569.9
June 2010	\$ 110,991.99	257.35	\$ 30,849.18	245.9	\$ 141,841.17	503.25
July 2010	\$ 259,504.78	587	\$ 29,112.55	227.55	\$ 288,617.32	814.55
August 2010	\$ 232,374.94	526.9	\$ 25,813.67	186.78	\$ 258,188.60	713.68
September 2010	\$ 172,449.45	404.85	\$ 16,286.24	110.1	\$ 188,735.69	514.95
October 2010	\$ 158,286.00	361.25	\$ 36,297.27	236.75	\$ 194,583.27	598
November 2010	\$ 260,419.00	621	\$ 54,943.36	350.95	\$ 315,362.36	971.95
December 2010	\$ 274,282.16	678.25	\$ 109,377.42	705.75	\$ 383,659.57	1384
January 2011	\$ 355,784.19	873.65	\$ 64,156.59	411.05	\$ 419,940.78	1284.7
February 2011	\$ 398,648.99	952.45	\$ 42,116.24	270.75	\$ 440,765.22	1223.2
March 2011	\$ 328,467.70	768.3	\$ 26,530.53	157.9	\$ 354,998.23	926.2
April 2011	\$ 301,804.39	740.65	\$ 25,165.93	166.8	\$ 326,970.31	907.45

May 2011	\$ 289,089.27	726.05	\$ 71,570.22	462.15	\$ 360,659.49	1188.2
June 2011	\$ 464,575.45	1117.45	\$ 114,578.90	802.1	\$ 579,154.35	1919.55
July 2011	\$ 394,768.29	958.5	\$ 98,723.18	722.15	\$ 549,009.25	1680.65
August 2011	\$ 393,642.88	918.95	\$ 95,383.45	626.25	\$ 489,026.33	1545.2
September 2011	\$ 321,409.66	778.75	\$ 76,688.59	493.8	\$ 398,098.25	1272.55
October 2011	\$ 298,788.09	692.1	\$ 60,230.95	380.95	\$ 359,019.03	1073.05
November 2011	\$ 293,419.29	750.45	\$ 58,889.17	367.45	\$ 352,308.46	1117.9
December 2011	\$ 253,287.13	617.15	\$ 39,000.21	239.8	\$ 292,287.33	856.95
January 2012	\$ 249,728.82	573.1	\$ 43,349.31	251.5	\$ 293,078.13	824.6
February 2012	\$ 224,439.73	528.9	\$ 57,238.36	312.95	\$ 281,678.09	841.85
March 2012	\$ 335,277.19	791.3	\$ 54,743.35	308.65	\$ 390,020.53	1099.95
April 2012	\$ 387,047.83	910.6	\$ 39,978.21	238.55	\$ 427,026.04	1149.15
May 2012	\$ 202,413.56	458.65	\$ 10,634.32	58.85	\$ 213,047.88	517.5
June 2012	\$ 93,615.75	210.5	\$ 4,581.00	33.15	\$ 98,196.75	243.65
July 2012	\$ 105,920.66	234.83	\$ 4,590.27	29.65	\$ 110,510.93	264.48
Grand Totals	\$ 9,002,749.75	21567.98	\$ 2,067,089.67	13651.58	\$ 11,125,357.21	35219.56

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for October 2007

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 24,263.40	53	\$ 3,531.60	24	\$ 27,795.00	77
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 24,263.40	53	\$ 3,531.60	24	\$ 27,795.00	77

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for November 2007

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 4,578.00	10	\$ 1,177.20	8	\$ 5,755.20	18
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 4,578.00	10	\$ 1,177.20	8	\$ 5,755.20	18

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for December 2007

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 11,445.00	25	\$ -	0	\$ 11,445.00	25
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 11,445.00	25	\$ -	0	\$ 11,445.00	25

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for January 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 4,578.00	10	\$ -	0	\$ 4,578.00	10
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 4,578.00	10	\$ -	0	\$ 4,578.00	10

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for February 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 3,662.40	8	\$ -	0	\$ 3,662.40	8
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 3,662.40	8	\$ -	0	\$ 3,662.40	8

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for March 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 1,831.20	4	\$ -	0	\$ 1,831.20	4
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 1,831.20	4	\$ -	0	\$ 1,831.20	4

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for April 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 3,662.40	8	\$ -	0	\$ 3,662.40	8
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 3,662.40	8	\$ -	0	\$ 3,662.40	8

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for May 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 457.80	1	\$ -	0	\$ 457.80	1
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 457.80	1	\$ -	0	\$ 457.80	1

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for June 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 457.80	1	\$ -	0	\$ 457.80	1
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 457.80	1	\$ -	0	\$ 457.80	1

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for July 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non- Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non- Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for August 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 4,806.90	10.5	\$ 7,026.41	47.75	\$ 11,833.31	58.25
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 4,806.90	10.5	\$ 7,026.41	47.75	\$ 11,833.31	58.25

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for September 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 3,662.40	8	\$ 662.18	4.5	\$ 4,324.58	12.5
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 3,662.40	8	\$ 662.18	4.5	\$ 4,324.58	12.5

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for October 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 2,289.00	5	\$ 515.03	3.5	\$ 2,804.03	8.5
Florida	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 2,289.00	5	\$ 515.03	3.5	\$ 2,804.03	8.5

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for November 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 2,289.00	5	\$ 6,695.33	45.5	\$ 8,984.33	50.5
Florida	\$ -	27.5	\$ 2,219.24	10.7	\$ 2,219.24	38.2
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 2,289.00	32.5	\$ 8,914.57	56.2	\$ 11,203.57	88.7

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for December 2008

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 22,557.55	48.75	\$ 17,069.40	116	\$ 39,626.95	164.75
Florida	\$ -	51.1	\$ 3,575.20	24.1	\$ 3,575.20	75.2
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
New York	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 22,557.55	99.85	\$ 20,644.60	140.1	\$ 43,202.15	239.95

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for January 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 37,904.75	81.75	\$ 21,226.39	144.25	\$ 59,131.14	226
Florida	\$ -	25.7	\$ 771.18	3.7	\$ 771.18	29.4
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ 880.18	1.7	\$ -	0	\$ 880.18	1.7
New York	\$ 517.75	1	\$ -	0	\$ 517.75	1
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 39,302.68	110.15	\$ 21,997.57	147.95	\$ 61,300.25	258.1

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for February 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
California	\$ 56,102.30	121.5	\$ 27,995.29	190.25	\$ 84,097.59	311.75
Florida	\$ -	71.7	\$ 1,912.41	9	\$ 1,912.41	80.7
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ 258.88	0.5	\$ -	0	\$ 258.88	0.5
New York	\$ 1,553.25	3	\$ -	0	\$ 1,553.25	3
West Virginia	\$ 258.88	0.5	\$ -	0	\$ 258.88	0.5
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 58,173.31	197.2	\$ 29,907.70	199.25	\$ 88,081.01	396.45

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for March 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 2,775.14	7.6	\$ -	0	\$ 2,775.14	7.6
California	\$ 38,177.25	83	\$ 21,704.63	147.5	\$ 59,881.88	230.5
Florida	\$ -	71.8	\$ 5,296.86	34.6	\$ 5,296.86	106.4
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ 931.95	1.8	\$ -	0	\$ 931.95	1.8
New York	\$ 5,902.35	12.5	\$ -	0	\$ 5,902.35	12.5
West Virginia	\$ 1,553.25	3	\$ -	0	\$ 1,553.25	3
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 49,339.94	179.7	\$ 27,001.49	182.1	\$ 76,341.43	361.8

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for April 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 547.73	1.5	\$ -	0	\$ 547.73	1.5
California	\$ 69,879.90	152.25	\$ 22,808.25	155	\$ 92,688.15	307.25
Florida	\$ -	37.6	\$ 2,266.11	15.4	\$ 2,266.11	53
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ 517.75	1	\$ -	0	\$ 517.75	1
New York	\$ 4,474.45	9.25	\$ -	0	\$ 4,474.45	9.25
West Virginia	\$ 1,087.28	2.1	\$ -	0	\$ 1,087.28	2.1
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 76,507.11	203.7	\$ 25,074.36	170.4	\$ 101,581.47	374.1

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for May 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 766.82	2.1	\$ -	0	\$ 766.82	2.1
California	\$ 92,519.20	227.25	\$ 25,346.59	172.25	\$ 117,865.79	399.5
Florida	\$ -	42.1	\$ 12,993.35	88.3	\$ 12,993.35	130.4
Michigan	\$ 1,504.20	6	\$ -	0	\$ 1,504.20	6
Missouri	\$ 1,615.93	5.7	\$ -	0	\$ 1,615.93	5.7
New York	\$ 2,551.69	5.05	\$ -	0	\$ 2,551.69	5.05
West Virginia	\$ 1,087.28	2.1	\$ -	0	\$ 1,087.28	2.1
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 100,045.12	290.3	\$ 38,339.94	260.55	\$ 138,385.05	550.85

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for June 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 730.30	2	\$ -	0	\$ 730.30	2
California	\$ 140,152.20	355.5	\$ 27,369.90	186	\$ 167,522.10	541.5
Florida	\$ -	75.7	\$ 36,337.88	244.2	\$ 36,337.88	319.9
Michigan	\$ 6,455.53	25.75	\$ -	0	\$ 6,455.53	25.75
Missouri	\$ 7,572.23	28.5	\$ 588.60	4	\$ 8,160.83	32.5
New York	\$ 2,588.75	5	\$ -	0	\$ 2,588.75	5
West Virginia	\$ 465.98	0.9	\$ -	0	\$ 465.98	0.9
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 157,964.99	493.35	\$ 64,296.38	434.2	\$ 222,261.37	927.55

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for July 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 365.15	1	\$ -	0	\$ 365.15	1
California	\$ 86,044.60	245	\$ 23,065.76	156.75	\$ 109,110.36	401.75
Florida	\$ -	124.4	\$ 37,675.31	251.7	\$ 37,675.31	376.1
Michigan	\$ 1,504.20	6	\$ -	0	\$ 1,504.20	6
Missouri	\$ 904.16	3.5	\$ 360.52	2.45	\$ 1,264.68	5.95
New York	\$ 2,060.10	4.5	\$ -	0	\$ 2,060.10	4.5
West Virginia	\$ 776.63	1.5	\$ -	0	\$ 776.63	1.5
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 91,654.84	385.9	\$ 61,101.59	410.9	\$ 152,756.43	796.8

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for August 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 912.88	2.5	\$ -	0	\$ 912.88	2.5
California	\$ 35,904.60	95	\$ 9,859.05	67	\$ 45,763.65	162
Florida	\$ -	92.4	\$ 36,113.34	244.6	\$ 36,113.34	337
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ 1,403.92	5.6	\$ 147.15	1	\$ 1,551.07	6.6
New York	\$ 517.75	1	\$ -	0	\$ 517.75	1
West Virginia	\$ 1,553.25	3	\$ -	0	\$ 1,553.25	3
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 40,292.40	199.5	\$ 46,119.54	312.6	\$ 86,411.93	512.1

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for September 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 182.58	0.5	\$ -	0	\$ 182.58	0.5
California	\$ 8,011.50	17.5	\$ 5,959.58	40.5	\$ 13,971.08	58
Florida	\$ -	121.3	\$ 48,382.92	328.8	\$ 48,382.92	450.1
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ 626.75	2.5	\$ 2,648.70	18	\$ 3,275.45	20.5
New York	\$ 3,444.40	7	\$ -	0	\$ 3,444.40	7
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 12,265.23	148.8	\$ 56,991.20	387.3	\$ 69,256.43	536.1

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for October 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 365.15	1	\$ -	0	\$ 365.15	1
California	\$ 3,090.15	6.75	\$ 1,177.20	8	\$ 4,267.35	14.75
Florida	\$ -	115.6	\$ 49,799.92	337.9	\$ 49,799.92	453.5
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ 802.24	3.2	\$ 831.40	5.65	\$ 1,633.64	8.85
New York	\$ 2,071.00	4	\$ -	0	\$ 2,071.00	4
West Virginia	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 6,328.54	130.55	\$ 51,808.52	351.55	\$ 58,137.06	482.1

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for November 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 730.30	2	\$ -	0	\$ 730.30	2
California	\$ 10,107.03	21.75	\$ 588.60	4	\$ 10,695.63	25.75
Florida	\$ -	85	\$ 42,675.14	287.70	\$ 42,675.14	372.7
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ 651.82	2.6	\$ 1,066.84	7.25	\$ 1,718.66	9.85
New York	\$ 2,588.75	5	\$ -	0	\$ 2,588.75	5
West Virginia	\$ 258.88	0.5	\$ -	0	\$ 258.88	0.5
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 14,336.78	116.85	\$ 44,330.58	298.95	\$ 58,667.35	415.8

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for December 2009

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 8,654.06	23.7	\$ -	0	\$ 8,654.06	23.7
California	\$ 12,360.60	27	\$ 147.15	1	\$ 12,507.75	28
Florida	\$ -	103.5	\$ 44,209.86	298.9	\$ 44,209.86	402.4
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ 2,054.65	5	\$ -	0	\$ 2,054.65	5
New York	\$ 2,588.75	5	\$ -	0	\$ 2,588.75	5
West Virginia	\$ 1,915.68	3.7	\$ -	0	\$ 1,915.68	3.7
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 27,573.74	167.9	\$ 44,357.01	299.9	\$ 71,930.74	467.8

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for January 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 584.24	1.6	\$ -	0	\$ 584.24	1.6
California	\$ 43,164.00	115	\$ 9,233.66	62.75	\$ 52,397.66	177.75
Florida	\$ -	167.3	\$ 27,769.39	183.9	\$ 27,769.39	351.2
Michigan	\$ 752.10	3	\$ -	0	\$ 752.10	3
Missouri	\$ 6,041.87	19.2	\$ 1,140.41	7.75	\$ 7,182.28	26.95
New York	\$ 517.75	1	\$ -	0	\$ 517.75	1
West Virginia	\$ 1,294.38	2.5	\$ -	0	\$ 1,294.38	2.5
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 52,354.34	309.6	\$ 38,143.46	254.4	\$ 90,497.80	564

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for February 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 6,390.13	17.5	\$ -	0	\$ 6,390.13	17.5
California	\$ 80,191.30	209.5	\$ 26,670.94	181.25	\$ 106,862.24	390.75
Florida	\$ -	179.2	\$ 38,241.56	252.9	\$ 38,241.56	432.1
Michigan	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
Missouri	\$ 15,926.54	45.1	\$ -	0	\$ 15,926.54	45.1
New York	\$ 517.75	1	\$ -	0	\$ 517.75	1
West Virginia	\$ 4,918.63	9.5	\$ -	0	\$ 4,918.63	9.5
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 107,944.35	461.8	\$ 64,912.50	434.15	\$ 172,856.85	895.95

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for March 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 5,112.10	14	\$ -	0	\$ 5,112.10	14
California	\$ 41,316.45	90.25	\$ 20,085.98	136.5	\$ 61,402.43	226.75
Florida	\$ -	84.5	\$ 38,633.96	261.2	\$ 38,633.96	345.7
Michigan	\$ 250.70	1	\$ -	0	\$ 250.70	1
Missouri	\$ 21,528.59	47.1	\$ 360.52	2.45	\$ 21,889.11	49.55
New York	\$ 21,737.33	46.5	\$ -	0	\$ 21,737.33	46.5
West Virginia	\$ 2,692.30	5.2	\$ -	0	\$ 2,692.30	5.2
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 92,637.47	288.55	\$ 59,080.46	400.15	\$ 151,717.93	688.7

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for April 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 3,140.29	8.6	\$ -	0	\$ 3,140.29	8.6
California	\$ 27,991.20	60.75	\$ 18,835.20	128	\$ 46,826.40	188.75
Florida	\$ -	42.4	\$ 9,170.72	60.3	\$ 9,170.72	102.7
Michigan	\$ 3,008.40	12	\$ -	0	\$ 3,008.40	12
Missouri	\$ 23,602.32	49.3	\$ 735.75	5	\$ 24,338.07	54.3
New York	\$ 22,895.45	49.75	\$ -	0	\$ 22,895.45	49.75
West Virginia	\$ 4,297.33	8.3	\$ -	0	\$ 4,297.33	8.3
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 84,934.99	231.1	\$ 28,741.67	193.3	\$ 113,676.66	424.4

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for May 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 13,766.16	37.7	\$ -	0	\$ 13,766.16	37.7
California	\$ 36,515.00	79.5	\$ 22,256.44	151.25	\$ 58,771.44	230.75
Florida	\$ -	60.8	\$ 6,739.47	45.8	\$ 6,739.47	106.6
Michigan	\$ 2,130.95	8.5	\$ -	0	\$ 2,130.95	8.5
Missouri	\$ 22,057.24	55.6	\$ 1,324.35	26	\$ 23,381.59	81.6
New York	\$ 33,593.80	73.25	\$ -	0	\$ 33,593.80	73.25
West Virginia	\$ 7,300.28	14.1	\$ -	0	\$ 7,300.28	14.1
Wisconsin	\$ 2,637.80	8.8	\$ 1,265.49	8.6	\$ 3,903.29	17.4
TOTALS	\$ 118,001.23	338.25	\$ 31,585.75	231.65	\$ 149,586.98	569.9

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for June 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 4,893.01	13.4	\$ -	0	\$ 4,893.01	13.4
California	\$ 21,745.50	47.5	\$ 22,771.46	154.75	\$ 44,516.96	202.25
Florida	\$ -	41.1	\$ 2,096.07	11.5	\$ 2,096.07	52.6
Michigan	\$ 5,139.35	20.5	\$ -	0	\$ 5,139.35	20.5
Missouri	\$ 22,291.59	47.8	\$ 3,789.11	64.75	\$ 26,080.70	112.55
New York	\$ 33,533.85	73.25	\$ -	0	\$ 33,533.85	73.25
West Virginia	\$ 3,883.13	7.5	\$ -	0	\$ 3,883.13	7.5
Wisconsin	\$ 1,888.43	6.3	\$ 2,192.54	14.9	\$ 4,080.97	21.2
TOTALS	\$ 93,374.86	257.35	\$ 30,849.18	245.9	\$ 124,224.04	503.25

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for July 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 11,648.29	31.9	\$ -	0	\$ 11,648.29	31.9
California	\$ 41,665.25	90.75	\$ 18,504.11	125.75	\$ 60,169.36	216.5
Florida	\$ -	123.2	\$ 5,193.31	26	\$ 5,193.31	149.2
Michigan	\$ 3,071.08	12.25	\$ -	0	\$ 3,071.08	12.25
Missouri	\$ 62,854.59	143.2	\$ 4,782.38	71.5	\$ 67,636.97	214.7
New York	\$ 73,103.58	157	\$ -	0	\$ 73,103.58	157
West Virginia	\$ 12,736.65	24.6	\$ -	0	\$ 12,736.65	24.6
Wisconsin	\$ 1,228.98	4.1	\$ 632.75	4.3	\$ 1,861.73	8.4
TOTALS	\$ 206,308.42	587	\$ 29,112.55	227.55	\$ 235,420.96	814.55

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for August 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 4,746.95	13	\$ -	0	\$ 4,746.95	13
California	\$ 64,969.45	141	\$ 10,374.08	70.5	\$ 75,343.53	211.5
Florida	\$ -	94.5	\$ 3,204.06	15.9	\$ 3,204.06	110.4
Michigan	\$ 3,133.75	12.5	\$ -	0	\$ 3,133.75	12.5
Missouri	\$ 55,003.04	124.7	\$ 11,661.64	96.48	\$ 66,664.68	221.18
New York	\$ 49,701.28	108.5	\$ -	0	\$ 49,701.28	108.5
West Virginia	\$ 13,150.85	25.4	\$ -	0	\$ 13,150.85	25.4
Wisconsin	\$ 2,188.18	7.3	\$ 573.89	3.9	\$ 2,762.07	11.2
TOTALS	\$ 192,893.50	526.9	\$ 25,813.67	186.78	\$ 218,707.17	713.68

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for September 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 109.55	0.3	\$ -	0	\$ 109.55	0.3
California	\$ 52,175.58	122.25	\$ 12,728.48	86.5	\$ 64,904.06	208.75
Florida	\$ -	33.1	\$ 555.90	3.2	\$ 555.90	36.3
Michigan	\$ 1,529.27	6.1	\$ -	0	\$ 1,529.27	6.1
Missouri	\$ 21,925.35	50.6	\$ 2,648.70	18	\$ 24,574.05	68.6
New York	\$ 78,880.58	184.7	\$ -	0	\$ 78,880.58	184.7
West Virginia	\$ 3,624.25	7	\$ -	0	\$ 3,624.25	7
Wisconsin	\$ 239.80	0.8	\$ 353.16	2.4	\$ 592.96	3.2
TOTALS	\$ 158,484.37	404.85	\$ 16,286.24	110.1	\$ 174,770.61	514.95

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for October 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 1,570.15	4.3	\$ -	0	\$ 1,570.15	4.3
California	\$ 64,220.08	146.75	\$ 17,915.51	121.75	\$ 82,135.59	268.5
Florida	\$ -	43.7	\$ 17,086.84	106.2	\$ 17,086.84	149.9
Michigan	\$ 2,381.65	9.5	\$ -	0	\$ 2,381.65	9.5
Missouri	\$ 21,302.96	41.3	\$ 1,030.05	7	\$ 22,333.01	48.3
New York	\$ 46,706.50	109.7	\$ -	0	\$ 46,706.50	109.7
West Virginia	\$ 2,744.08	5.3	\$ -	0	\$ 2,744.08	5.3
Wisconsin	\$ 209.83	0.7	\$ 264.87	1.8	\$ 474.70	2.5
TOTALS	\$ 139,135.24	361.25	\$ 36,297.27	236.75	\$ 175,432.51	598

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for November 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 1,387.57	3.8	\$ -	0	\$ 1,387.57	3.8
California	\$ 77,997.68	181.25	\$ 6,584.96	44.75	\$ 84,582.64	226
Florida	\$ -	108.2	\$ 39,485.25	245.9	\$ 39,485.25	354.1
Michigan	\$ 1,566.88	6.25	\$ -	0	\$ 1,566.88	6.25
Missouri	\$ 69,434.64	166.5	\$ 5,959.58	40.5	\$ 75,394.22	207
New York	\$ 57,116.00	139.8	\$ -	0	\$ 57,116.00	139.8
West Virginia	\$ 6,523.65	12.6	\$ -	0	\$ 6,523.65	12.6
Wisconsin	\$ 779.35	2.6	\$ 2,913.57	19.8	\$ 3,692.92	22.4
TOTALS	\$ 214,805.77	621	\$ 54,943.36	350.95	\$ 269,749.13	971.95

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for December 2010

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 3,249.84	8.9	\$ -	0	\$ 3,249.84	8.9
California	\$ 104,048.68	241.25	\$ 18,136.24	123.25	\$ 122,184.92	364.5
Florida	\$ -	160.5	\$ 72,405.98	454.5	\$ 72,405.98	615
Michigan	\$ 2,532.07	10.1	\$ -	0	\$ 2,532.07	10.1
Missouri	\$ 47,314.72	137.6	\$ 6,474.60	44	\$ 53,789.32	181.6
New York	\$ 48,984.60	107	\$ -	0	\$ 48,984.60	107
West Virginia	\$ 4,970.40	9.6	\$ -	0	\$ 4,970.40	9.6
Wisconsin	\$ 989.18	3.3	\$ 12,360.60	84	\$ 13,349.78	87.3
TOTALS	\$ 212,089.48	678.25	\$ 109,377.42	705.75	\$ 321,466.90	1384

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for January 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 10,808.44	29.6	\$ -	0	\$ 10,808.44	29.6
California	\$ 127,470.05	291.25	\$ 15,524.33	105.5	\$ 142,994.38	396.75
Florida	\$ -	267.5	\$ 36,970.62	226.3	\$ 36,970.62	493.8
Michigan	\$ 6,066.94	24.2	\$ -	0	\$ 6,066.94	24.2
Missouri	\$ 65,029.95	166.4	\$ 9,454.39	64.25	\$ 74,484.34	230.65
New York	\$ 28,841.40	63	\$ -	0	\$ 28,841.40	63
West Virginia	\$ 5,643.48	10.9	\$ -	0	\$ 5,643.48	10.9
Wisconsin	\$ 6,234.80	20.8	\$ 2,207.25	15	\$ 8,442.05	35.8
TOTALS	\$ 250,095.06	873.65	\$ 64,156.59	411.05	\$ 314,251.65	1284.7

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for February 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 15,664.94	42.9	\$ -	0	\$ 15,664.94	42.9
California	\$ 130,527.50	295	\$ 3,973.05	27	\$ 134,500.55	322
Florida	\$ -	283.2	\$ 32,882.58	208	\$ 32,882.58	491.2
Michigan	\$ 5,277.24	21.05	\$ -	0	\$ 5,277.24	21.05
Missouri	\$ 93,918.76	212.5	\$ 5,260.61	35.75	\$ 99,179.37	248.25
New York	\$ 22,432.20	49	\$ -	0	\$ 22,432.20	49
West Virginia	\$ 6,057.68	11.7	\$ -	0	\$ 6,057.68	11.7
Wisconsin	\$ 11,120.73	37.1	\$ -	0	\$ 11,120.73	37.1
TOTALS	\$ 284,999.05	952.45	\$ 42,116.24	270.75	\$ 327,115.28	1223.2

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for March 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 365.15	1	\$ -	0	\$ 365.15	1
California	\$ 102,321.03	231.75	\$ 7,504.65	51	\$ 109,825.68	282.75
Florida	\$ -	251.3	\$ 15,303.60	88.4	\$ 15,303.60	339.7
Michigan	\$ 5,327.38	21.25	\$ -	0	\$ 5,327.38	21.25
Missouri	\$ 55,686.47	136.8	\$ 3,722.28	18.5	\$ 59,408.75	155.3
New York	\$ 52,647.00	115	\$ -	0	\$ 52,647.00	115
West Virginia	\$ 4,090.23	7.9	\$ -	0	\$ 4,090.23	7.9
Wisconsin	\$ 2,457.95	3.3	\$ -	0	\$ 2,457.95	3.3
TOTALS	\$ 222,895.21	768.3	\$ 26,530.53	157.9	\$ 249,425.74	926.2

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for April 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 474.70	1.3	\$ -	0	\$ 474.70	1.3
California	\$ 119,371.35	277.75	\$ 10,484.44	71.25	\$ 129,855.79	349
Florida	\$ -	194.9	\$ 12,731.75	82.3	\$ 12,731.75	277.2
Michigan	\$ 7,771.70	31	\$ -	0	\$ 7,771.70	31
Missouri	\$ 56,415.68	136.3	\$ 1,949.74	13.25	\$ 58,365.42	149.55
New York	\$ 40,629.75	88.75	\$ -	0	\$ 40,629.75	88.75
West Virginia	\$ 3,805.46	7.35	\$ -	0	\$ 3,805.46	7.35
Wisconsin	\$ 989.18	3.3	\$ -	0	\$ 989.18	3.3
TOTALS	\$ 229,457.82	740.65	\$ 25,165.93	166.8	\$ 254,623.74	907.45

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for May 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 1,351.06	3.7	\$ -	0	\$ 1,351.06	3.7
California	\$ 94,968.98	223.5	\$ 13,022.78	88.5	\$ 107,991.76	312
Florida	\$ -	150.7	\$ 57,407.03	361.4	\$ 57,407.03	512.1
Michigan	\$ 1,754.90	7	\$ -	0	\$ 1,754.90	7
Missouri	\$ 41,803.14	92.5	\$ 1,140.41	12.25	\$ 42,943.55	104.75
New York	\$ 84,780.20	232.75	\$ -	0	\$ 84,780.20	232.75
West Virginia	\$ 7,714.48	14.9	\$ -	0	\$ 7,714.48	14.9
Wisconsin	\$ 299.75	1	\$ -	0	\$ 299.75	1
TOTALS	\$ 232,672.50	726.05	\$ 71,570.22	462.15	\$ 304,242.72	1188.2

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for June 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 11,684.80	32	\$ -	0	\$ 11,684.80	32
California	\$ 143,266.88	324	\$ 8,203.61	55.75	\$ 151,470.49	379.75
Florida	\$ -	229.3	\$ 104,977.36	662.1	\$ 104,977.36	891.4
Michigan	\$ 3,321.78	13.25	\$ -	0	\$ 3,321.78	13.25
Missouri	\$ 81,676.97	170.7	\$ 1,397.93	84.25	\$ 83,074.90	254.95
New York	\$ 123,442.50	336.1	\$ -	0	\$ 123,442.50	336.1
West Virginia	\$ 3,210.05	6.2	\$ -	0	\$ 3,210.05	6.2
Wisconsin	\$ 1,768.53	5.9	\$ -	0	\$ 1,768.53	5.9
TOTALS	\$ 368,371.51	1117.45	\$ 114,578.90	802.1	\$ 482,950.40	1919.55

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for July 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 8,544.51	23.4	\$ -	0	\$ 9,505.77	23.4
California	\$ 162,527.18	367	\$ 4,304.14	29.25	\$ 185,599.84	396.25
Florida	\$ -	227.2	\$ 90,703.50	633.9	\$ 100,907.64	861.1
Michigan	\$ 6,768.90	27	\$ -	0	\$ 7,530.40	27
Missouri	\$ 75,280.85	160.1	\$ 3,715.54	59	\$ 87,883.48	219.1
New York	\$ 48,875.60	142.4	\$ -	0	\$ 54,374.11	142.4
West Virginia	\$ 3,727.80	7.2	\$ -	0	\$ 4,147.18	7.2
Wisconsin	\$ 1,258.95	4.2	\$ -	0	\$ 1,400.58	4.2
TOTALS	\$ 306,983.79	958.5	\$ 98,723.18	722.15	\$ 451,349.00	1680.65

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for August 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 182.58	0.5	\$ -	0	\$ 182.58	0.5
California	\$ 163,343.31	378	\$ 5,297.40	36	\$ 168,640.71	414
Florida	\$ -	209.3	\$ 85,929.06	550.6	\$ 85,929.06	759.9
Michigan	\$ 5,828.78	23.25	\$ 588.60	5	\$ 6,417.38	28.25
Missouri	\$ 90,090.68	180.4	\$ 3,568.39	34.65	\$ 93,659.07	215.05
New York	\$ 37,795.75	113	\$ -	0	\$ 37,795.75	113
West Virginia	\$ 6,730.75	13	\$ -	0	\$ 6,730.75	13
Wisconsin	\$ 449.63	1.5	\$ -	0	\$ 449.63	1.5
TOTALS	\$ 304,421.48	918.95	\$ 95,383.45	626.25	\$ 399,804.93	1545.2

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for September 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 7,485.58	20.5	\$ -	0	\$ 7,485.58	20.5
California	\$ 131,522.13	288.5	\$ 3,237.30	22	\$ 134,759.43	310.5
Florida	\$ -	191.1	\$ 72,936.26	468.3	\$ 72,936.26	659.4
Michigan	\$ 8,975.06	35.8	\$ 294.30	2	\$ 9,269.36	37.8
Missouri	\$ 60,871.05	123.5	\$ 220.73	1.5	\$ 61,091.78	125
New York	\$ 33,197.31	110.75	\$ -	0	\$ 33,197.31	110.75
West Virginia	\$ 4,452.65	8.6	\$ -	0	\$ 4,452.65	8.6
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 246,503.77	778.75	\$ 76,688.59	493.8	\$ 323,192.36	1272.55

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for October 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 5,732.86	15.7	\$ -	0	\$ 5,732.86	15.7
California	\$ 83,322.33	180.5	\$ 4,819.16	32.75	\$ 88,141.49	213.25
Florida	\$ -	242.5	\$ 46,288.49	286.2	\$ 46,288.49	528.7
Michigan	\$ 6,267.50	25	\$ -	0	\$ 6,267.50	25
Missouri	\$ 63,283.77	131.1	\$ 9,123.30	62	\$ 72,407.07	193.1
New York	\$ 26,078.25	87	\$ -	0	\$ 26,078.25	87
West Virginia	\$ 5,332.83	10.3	\$ -	0	\$ 5,332.83	10.3
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 190,017.53	692.1	\$ 60,230.95	380.95	\$ 250,248.48	1073.05

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for November 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 15,482.36	42.4	\$ -	0	\$ 15,482.36	42.4
California	\$ 38,913.00	85	\$ 2,244.04	15.25	\$ 41,157.04	100.25
Florida	\$ -	287.7	\$ 46,050.32	280.2	\$ 46,050.32	567.9
Michigan	\$ 15,731.43	62.75	\$ -	0	\$ 15,731.43	62.75
Missouri	\$ 75,095.55	164.9	\$ 9,785.48	66.5	\$ 84,881.03	231.4
New York	\$ 16,984.93	53.5	\$ -	0	\$ 16,984.93	53.5
West Virginia	\$ 18,639.00	36	\$ -	0	\$ 18,639.00	36
Wisconsin	\$ 5,455.45	18.2	\$ 809.33	5.5	\$ 6,264.78	23.7
TOTALS	\$ 186,301.72	750.45	\$ 58,889.17	367.45	\$ 245,190.89	1117.9

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for December 2011

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 7,522.09	20.6	\$ -	0	\$ 7,522.09	20.6
California	\$ 68,904.35	150.25	\$ 6,180.30	42	\$ 75,084.65	192.25
Florida	\$ -	249.8	\$ 29,538.46	174.5	\$ 29,538.46	424.3
Michigan	\$ 8,899.85	35.5	\$ 1,030.05	8	\$ 9,929.90	43.5
Missouri	\$ 37,831.72	90.4	\$ 2,251.40	15.3	\$ 40,083.12	105.7
New York	\$ 9,324.95	29	\$ -	0	\$ 9,324.95	29
West Virginia	\$ 14,859.43	28.7	\$ -	0	\$ 14,859.43	28.7
Wisconsin	\$ 3,866.78	12.9	\$ -	0	\$ 3,866.78	12.9
TOTALS	\$ 151,209.17	617.15	\$ 39,000.21	239.8	\$ 190,209.38	856.95

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for January 2012

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 376.05	1.5	\$ -	0	\$ 376.05	1.5
California	\$ 58,064.30	125	\$ 5,370.98	36.5	\$ 63,435.28	161.5
Florida	\$ -	244	\$ 31,753.88	172.7	\$ 31,753.88	416.7
Michigan	\$ 6,142.15	24.5	\$ -	0	\$ 6,142.15	24.5
Missouri	\$ 57,439.19	122.7	\$ 3,252.02	22.1	\$ 60,691.21	144.8
New York	\$ 10,791.00	36	\$ -	0	\$ 10,791.00	36
West Virginia	\$ 10,044.35	19.4	\$ -	0	\$ 10,044.35	19.4
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ 2,972.43	20.2	\$ 2,972.43	20.2
TOTALS	\$ 142,857.04	573.1	\$ 43,349.31	251.5	\$ 186,206.35	824.6

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for February 2012

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 501.40	2	\$ -	0	\$ 501.40	2
California	\$ 64,498.03	149	\$ 8,424.34	57.25	\$ 72,922.37	206.25
Florida	\$ -	211	\$ 47,224.80	244.9	\$ 47,224.80	455.9
Michigan	\$ 1,754.90	7	\$ -	0	\$ 1,754.90	7
Missouri	\$ 41,349.70	89.2	\$ 1,589.22	10.8	\$ 42,938.92	100
New York	\$ 23,026.25	67	\$ -	0	\$ 23,026.25	67
West Virginia	\$ 1,915.68	3.7	\$ -	0	\$ 1,915.68	3.7
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 133,045.96	528.9	\$ 57,238.36	312.95	\$ 190,284.31	841.85

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for March 2012

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 501.40	2	\$ -	0	\$ 501.40	2
California	\$ 44,363.00	97.25	\$ 4,819.16	32.75	\$ 49,182.16	130
Florida	\$ -	460.7	\$ 47,201.91	257.4	\$ 47,201.91	718.1
Michigan	\$ 3,612.26	13.9	\$ -	0	\$ 3,612.26	13.9
Missouri	\$ 63,733.39	142.8	\$ 2,722.28	18.5	\$ 66,455.67	161.3
New York	\$ 20,553.31	67.25	\$ -	0	\$ 20,553.31	67.25
West Virginia	\$ 3,831.35	7.4	\$ -	0	\$ 3,831.35	7.4
Wisconsin	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0
TOTALS	\$ 136,594.71	791.3	\$ 54,743.35	308.65	\$ 191,338.06	1099.95

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for April 2012

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 752.10	3	\$ -	0	\$ 752.10	3
California	\$ 66,757.05	158.25	\$ 3,126.94	21.25	\$ 69,883.99	179.5
Florida	\$ -	460.7	\$ 34,246.71	199.6	\$ 34,246.71	660.3
Michigan	\$ 5,695.25	19	\$ -	0	\$ 5,695.25	19
Missouri	\$ 64,506.20	142.9	\$ 2,604.56	17.7	\$ 67,110.76	160.6
New York	\$ 40,745.56	109.15	\$ -	0	\$ 40,745.56	109.15
West Virginia	\$ 3,727.80	7.2	\$ -	0	\$ 3,727.80	7.2
Wisconsin	\$ 3,117.40	10.4	\$ -	0	\$ 3,117.40	10.4
TOTALS	\$ 185,301.36	910.6	\$ 39,978.21	238.55	\$ 225,279.57	1149.15

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for May 2012

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 626.75	2.5	\$ -	0	\$ 626.75	2.5
California	\$ 36,509.55	79.75	\$ 993.26	6.75	\$ 37,502.81	86.5
Florida	\$ -	129.5	\$ 8,125.41	41.8	\$ 8,125.41	171.3
Michigan	\$ 4,437.94	16	\$ -	0	\$ 4,437.94	16
Missouri	\$ 77,230.86	166.6	\$ 1,515.65	10.3	\$ 78,746.51	176.9
New York	\$ 14,434.33	46.2	\$ -	0	\$ 14,434.33	46.2
West Virginia	\$ 6,886.08	13.3	\$ -	0	\$ 6,886.08	13.3
Wisconsin	\$ 1,438.80	4.8	\$ -	0	\$ 1,438.80	4.8
TOTALS	\$ 141,564.31	458.65	\$ 10,634.32	58.85	\$ 152,198.63	517.5

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for June 2012

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 501.40	2	\$ -	0	\$ 501.40	2
California	\$ 24,606.75	53.75	\$ 331.09	2.25	\$ 24,937.84	56
Florida	\$ -	53.7	\$ 4,073.33	27.2	\$ 4,073.33	80.9
Michigan	\$ 1,190.83	4.75	\$ -	0	\$ 1,190.83	4.75
Missouri	\$ 27,767.75	57.5	\$ 176.58	3.7	\$ 27,944.33	61.2
New York	\$ 11,313.11	32.9	\$ -	0	\$ 11,313.11	32.9
West Virginia	\$ 2,019.23	3.9	\$ -	0	\$ 2,019.23	3.9
Wisconsin	\$ 599.50	2	\$ -	0	\$ 599.50	2
TOTALS	\$ 67,998.57	210.5	\$ 4,581.00	33.15	\$ 72,579.57	243.65

EXHIBIT 1-C
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Summary for July 2012

State	<u>Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Fees</u>	<u>Non-Attorney Hours</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Arkansas	\$ 501.40	2	\$ -	0	\$ 501.40	2
California	\$ 25,557.78	56	\$ 772.54	5.25	\$ 26,330.32	61.25
Florida	\$ -	62.8	\$ 3,243.84	20.5	\$ 3,243.84	83.3
Michigan	\$ 1,210.88	4.83	\$ -	0	\$ 1,210.88	4.83
Missouri	\$ 42,365.58	97.3	\$ 573.89	3.9	\$ 42,939.47	101.2
New York	\$ 1,448.07	4	\$ -	0	\$ 1,448.07	4
West Virginia	\$ 1,812.13	3.5	\$ -	0	\$ 1,812.13	3.5
Wisconsin	\$ 1,318.90	4.4	\$ -	0	\$ 1,318.90	4.4
TOTALS	\$ 74,214.74	234.83	\$ 4,590.27	29.65	\$ 78,805.01	264.48

EXHIBIT 1-D
In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation
MDL No. 1827 (N.D. California)

Additional State-Specific Costs Summary

Arkansas	Travel	\$	-
	Miscellaneous	\$	-
		\$	-
California	Travel	\$	-
	Miscellaneous	\$	18,768.36
		\$	18,768.36
Florida	Travel	\$	34,291.17
	Miscellaneous	\$	798.36
		\$	35,089.53
Michigan	Travel	\$	-
	Miscellaneous	\$	1,858.88
		\$	1,858.88
Missouri	Travel	\$	13,656.83
	Miscellaneous	\$	1,631.17
		\$	15,288.00
New York	Travel	\$	1,627.85
	Miscellaneous	\$	-
		\$	1,627.85
Wisconsin	Travel	\$	1,311.00
	Miscellaneous	\$	-
		\$	1,311.00
West Virginia	Travel	\$	-
	Miscellaneous	\$	-
		\$	-
		\$	73,943.62